Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	an/	10/002,714	BOKISA ET AL.
	ui y	Examiner	Art Unit
		Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2827
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>being allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Ishwar (I. B.) Patel</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas W. Adams (35,047)</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 August 2003		Time: <u>-</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		nt's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: US Patent No. 4,234,621			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
			Tourier of
1BPal- 9/11/03		SUPERVISCENTE TECHNOLIC	2000
(Examiner/SPE-8tgnature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called the applicant as the prior art US Patent No. 4,234,621, was not disclosing any immersion plating as claimed by the applicant in the response after final action. Applicant clarified that it was a typographical mistake. The correct prior is US Patent NO. 4,234,631, disclosing immersion plated and electroplated process and product.